

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/644,228	08/20/2003	Robin Stevenson	GP-303404	5722	
7590 02/09/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
LAURA C. HARGITT			GORDON, STEPHEN T		
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 300			3612		
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	10/644,228	STEVENSON ET AL.				
' Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Gordon	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from o, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	ugust 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6 and 8-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 2 and 7 is/are objected to.	ar alastian rasuirament					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	septed or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		Cara NII-				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	·					
application from the International Burea	•	ed III tills Ivational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
,						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-21-03</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

It does not identify the citizenship of each inventor.

It does not identify the city and either state or foreign country of residence of each inventor. The residence information may be provided on either on an application data sheet or supplemental oath or declaration.

It was not executed in accordance with either 37 CFR 1.66 or 1.68.

Note regarding the above, the inventor information and signature for inventors Konchan and Lange have not been included with the application papers.

3. Claims 3-6 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 3, the recited left and right handles apparently constitute a double inclusion of the first and second handles of the base claim.

In view of the above issue regarding claim 3, the references to the handle(s) in claim 4 – line 5, claim 5 – line 3, and claim 6 – line 4 are indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,228

Art Unit: 3612

Re claim 9, "said handles" near the end of the claim lacks antecedent basis.

Re claim 11, it is not clear how the recited left and right handles are related to the handles referred to in the base claim.

In view of the above issue regarding claim 11, the references to the handle(s) in claim 12 – line 5, claim 13 – line 3, and claim 14 – line 4 are indefinite.

Re claim 15, "the door" at each occurrence lacks clear antecedent basis and should apparently be –the rear closure—(i.e. 2 places total).

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leftridge. Leftridge teaches a vehicle tailgate including a door 200, handles (272,276 and 262,266), latches 290+, and strikers 130+ (figure 4) as broadly claimed. The device pivots on multiple axes as broadly recited – see figures 2 and 6 etc.
- 6. Claims 1 and 9, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Humphrey et al.

Humphrey et al. teaches a vehicle tailgate including a door 351,260,290,220, 250+, handles (810 B and C), latches 270+, and strikers 844B+ as broadly claimed. The device pivots on multiple axes as broadly recited – see figures 4 and 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,228 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

7. Claims 2 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 8. Claims 3-6, 8, and 10-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 16 is allowed.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note at least Kaptur Jr et al additionally teaches a tailgate assembly with multiple pivot axes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Gordon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

stg